

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Date: October 19

Curry; STEVEN DUMAINE

NOV 13 2017

Case C-101 30470

To: James Courts, Administrative Clerk, Ramonogordo, N.M.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
MATTHEW J. DYKMAN
CLERK

TA- 89026 2017 / SW- 171 006 / M-38-ER-2017-00036

DA-1715-CR-2017-00473

17cv1079 RB/GJF

To: James Courts, Administrative Clerk of Court

Twelfth Judicial District

Alamo County Court House

1000 New York Ave.

Alamogordo, N.M. 88310

Be it known to all men, especially to those who serve the State of New Mexico or the private foreign Judiciary, that the Affiant, being a Sufficient living being of the age of majority, a non-combatant civilian, and a non-resident alien, does make the following Declaration in Revoking his free willed Consent from any & all presumptions of Contract that he may have signed under duress, threat, intimidation, or under the threat & force of arms.

All such unilateral & gratuitous bailments are then nullified & void of the Affiant's Consent to contract. There exists, then, no binding or enforceable Contract on the record between the State of New Mexico and STEVEN

Company, or any other Corporation or corporate
alias by that name, as the fictional &
artificial person is physically incapable
of signing or autographing such contracts,
and the living sentient being, as in the
artificial person's Authorized Agent, does Not
grant his Knowledgeable & Free-willed
Assent to the State, which would allow
the State to lawfully convert the Affiant's
unalienable & natural rights into sanctionable
& punishable felony offenses under the
State's Statutory codes, rules, and color of
law.

Such construction frauds & criminal conversions
by the State are considered felony offenses &
breaches of the Public Trust when the State
is contractually obligated & duty-bound to
protect & safeguard the Affiant's life, Pursuits,
and Possessions. [See *Hale V. Hurlbut* (1905)]

Deprivations, Subversions and Violations
of the Affiant's unalienable, natural, and
Constitutional rights by the State & the
private foreign judiciary, are all abrogations
of, and in stark contradiction to the US &
State Constitutions, and are sanctionable,
and punishable under the 42 U.S.C., the Lieber Code,
18 USC, 19 USC, 15 USC, and 47 USC, etc., etc.

This Affidavit is also constructed as an Incident Report of Criminal Activity, wherein the occupants & members of this Affidavit are obligated & duty bound to report the criminal activity of A/S Hunter & Escobar, and Deputy Sanchez to their superiors in the Judiciary and in the Military; pursuant 18 USC 3, 4, & 5.

It stands, then, as the facts & truth of the matter, that the Affiant, holding his Superior Claim of Exemption of being alive, and not being an abandoned or salvageable vessel at sea, does violate any previous consent he may have given to be boarded, pirated, or plundered, by those flying their private foreign military flags. The Affiant is, overall, engaged in the peaceful & civil trade of living, and he has not injured or damaged any other living being while engaged in his true trade.

The Affiant, then, has the right to be freed from his wrongful captivity, and to be compensated for his injuries & trade losses without delay, denial, or further obstruction. It is so, and so it shall be!!

Cory: Juan Duenas
All Rights Reserved
UCC 1-208

The Affluent does stand on
his Constitutional Rights as well as
* Relevant Case laws & Articles *

1. Declaration of Independence
2. Magna Carta
3. 10 COMMANDMENTS
4. Judiciary Act of 1789
5. Apr. 1871 Constitution
6. Original Organic VII Amendment (1819-1849)
7. Titles of Nobility Act (1802)
8. Marbury vs Madison (1803)
9. Indian Code (1863) / Pres. Exec. Order # 120
10. Sherman Antitrust Act
11. Public Housing Act
12. Federal Reserve Act 1913
13. USR Emergency - 1932
14. Marbury vs Madison
15. Scott vs McNeel (1890)
16. John v. Theobald (1905)
17. Executive Act
18. State v. Theobald
19. State v. Theobald
20. Jones v. State
21. Theobald v. US
22. Theobald v. US Memorandum of Law
23. Bishop v. State
24. Miranda v. Arizona
25. Medina v. People (1965) Core Supreme Court
26. John v. US
27. State v. Theobald

Arroyo, Steven D. (C)
P.O. Box 6-101 # 38970
Alamo Gordo, NM (88310)

URGENT !!
Legal Mail
Express
Priority

DETENTION CENTER
INMATE CORRESPONDENCE

Case # 2:17-cv-01079 (iam)

EL PASO TX 799
08 NOV 2017
RECEIVED
At Albuquerque NM

NOV 13 2017
MATTHEW J. DYKMAN
CLERK

To: Matthew J. Dykman
Clerk of Court
US DISTRICT COURT OF NEW MEXICO
US Courthouse, # 270
333 LOMAS BLVD, N.W.

Albuquerque, NM